the determination of the applicability of the internal revenue code, section 5.31, you find in almost identical situations the court going in different directions.

what we seek to do is to give the General Assembly in the first instance, and the administrative agency in the ultimate instance, the freedom to make meaningful judgments so that the bona fide farmer will be protected, but the obvious land speculator will not.

DELEGATE HENDERSON: I take it what you are saying is that you do believe that a valid constitutional distinction can be drawn between a farmer who does want the same thing as the speculator, even though they may both be speculating. Is that true?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Case.

DELEGATE CASE: I am not saying it is a constitutional distinction. I am saying we are establishing a classification which we are defining by the use of the words "agricultural use."

Now, that is as far as the Constitution goes.

What is agricultural use depends in the first instance upon the judgment made by the administrative board, but in the last analysis, of course, by the courts interpreting that